United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division

Case Number Investigative Activity Report 0506-0026 Case Title: **Reporting Office:** Ferguson Enterprises Inc. Detroit, MI, Resident Office Subject of Report: **Activity Date:** May 19, 2010 Interview of **DWSD Principal Construction Inspector Approving Official and Date:** Reporting Official and Date: SAC ASAC24-MAY-2010, Approved by: 20-MAY-2010, Signed by: RAC SYNOPSIS 05/19/2010 - U.S. EPA CID Special Agent (SA) interviewed Principal Construction Inspector, Detroit Water and Sewerage Department (DWSD) regarding the Baby Creek Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) contract known as PC 748. DETAILS On May 19, 2010, U.S. EPA CID Special Agent (SA) interviewed Principal Construction Inspector, Detroit Water and Sewerage Department (DWSD) regarding the Baby Creek Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) contract known as PC 748. Also , Deputy General Counsel, City of Detroit Law present during this interview was Department. After being informed of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the provided the following information: has been employed by the DWSD for the past 21 years and has held the title of Principal Construction Inspector for 14 years. It was in this capacity that was involved in the Baby Creek CSO construction project. also oversaw the rehabilitation of the Patton Park Recreation Center as a part of PC 748. As the Principal Construction Inspector on average a team of 12 field inspectors, discusses issues with the foreman of any subcontractors and if need be addresses problems with the project with the General Contractor (GC) or Construction Manager (CM). Any modifications to the project are coordinated with the GC. was the Walbridge Project Manager on the Baby Creek/Patton Park contract. was the point of contact for Walbridge and was the Ferguson representative on the Patton Park reconstruction project. attended biweekly progress meetings with and others and these meetings were held in the Walbridge construction trailer. Walbridge Vice President attended these meetings once in a while, and came to the site if there were points of contention which needed

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA. It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

giving Ferguson enterprises a significant portion of work at the Patton Park reconstruction project

and

making these statements. SA

to be worked out.

trailer with

meeting and

asked

and that they were doing this after being told by Mayor

contract would be awarded to Walsh Construction.

handwritten memo which describes such a meeting.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 1 of 2

if recalled attending a meeting at the Walbridge construction

replied that

asked

Workens where explained that Walbridge was

to do so or otherwise the

did recall such a

reviewed the memo and stated that

to review a

United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

Case Number

0506-0026

the memo is consistent with recollection. explained that made these
statements at least once to , while frequently talked about how Walbridge was made to give the Patton Park work.
frustrated over having to deal with and Xcel, and the political implications of the
situation. commented that from view Walabridge could have handled the Patton Park
work themselves which left with the implication that they did so for political reasons. In
has never seen another instance where a GC gives a large portion of the contract
work to another contractor when they have the ability to do it themselves.
According to DWSD Director , Deputy Director and DWSD
Engineer never visited the Baby Creek CSO project however they all visited the
Patton Park site during the construction work at least twice. This coupled with the fact that the
DWSD management decided to add to the Patton Park
project despite the fact that had already assigned an inspector, to the job
highlighted the significance of the control of the
be expedited and set what characterized as an impossible completion date. The push to
finish the recreation center was all so could hold a campaign related event at the site.
never viewed Xcel as a formal member of the contract or team on the project. As far as was concerned Xcel was just a sub contractor to Ferguson Enterprises. thought that
was aware that FEI submitted a change order for the handling of spoils at the Baby Creek CSO site in the Summer of 2007 or early 2008 and that of the DWSD and told FEI that the order was being denied. The submission of the change order was after
and were no longer working on the contract.
inspector to collect all load tickets and manifests for soils which were hauled off site. This inspector
varied over time. The Summer of 2007 was likely the last time soils were transported from the site.
FEI left the job site without finishing some of their contracted work and had to have
WPM complete the remaining work. All progress payments for both Baby Creek and Patton Park
were processed by DWSD employee , whom is now deceased.
ATTACHMENT
Memo

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 2 of 2